

CASE STUDY

GAS EFFICIENCY IN RETAIL SHOWROOM VIA CONDENSING ROOFTOP UNIT

GAS CONDENSING ROOFTOP UNITS

(C-RTUs) are an emerging high-efficiency technology in the commercial HVAC market. C-RTUs are particularly advantageous in applications with high outside air percentages and long runtimes as they are 10 to 20 percent efficient when compared to their non-condensing, gas-fired counterparts.



Home Carpet Warehouse in Chehalis, WA



Photo Credit: Gas Technology Institute (GTI)

VENTILATING RETAIL SHOWROOM WITH HIGH-EFFICIENCY UNITS

Established in 1994 and located in Chehalis, Washington, Home Carpet Warehouse, Inc. has grown into Southwest Washington's largest in-stock flooring dealer. Owner Jon Vigre worked with Chehalis Sheet Metal to install a Reznor R8HE-060-096 C-RTU. The newly installed unit conditions 30 percent outside air and is responsible for keeping customers and staff comfortable by ventilating the airflow through the showroom to reduce strong

carpet fumes. The ventilation and heating function of the unit is gas-fired with local utility service provided by Puget Sound Energy.

Since Chehalis, WA is in Climate Zone 4C, a relatively cold climate in the Pacific Northwest, this facility requires large heating loads for longer runtimes to compensate for the comparatively harsher climate. Applications with these characteristics—large heating loads and long heating runtimes—are ideal for C-RTU technology. **Table 1** peels back the layers of savings and costs and highlights the relatively short payback associated with Home Carpet Warehouse's C-RTU.

Table 1. Home Carpet Warehouse C-RTU Financial Metrics

C-RTU Costs and Paybacks	Full 2015/2016 Heating Season
Annual Gas Savings (therms)	461
Annual Gas Cost Savings (\$)	\$ 392
Annual Electric Savings (kWh)	4,654
Annual Electric Cost Savings $(\$)$	\$ 423
Net Energy Cost Savings (\$)	\$ 815
Total Incremental Installed Cost (\$)	\$ 1,787
Payback of Incremental Cost (years)	2.2



SAVING ENERGY AND MONEY

During the 2015/2016 heating season, the C-RTU helped the Home Carpet Warehouse save 461 therms of gas, 4,654 kWh of electricity, and \$815 on their utility bill. Savings of this magnitude can significantly add to a building's asset value by reducing its operating costs. In the Chehalis and Lewis County region, the average market cap rate was seven percent in 2016. This was used to estimate the increase to the building's asset value by an approximately \$11,640 due to its investment in C-RTU technology.

As if lower utility bills haven't been enough, our staff has experienced significantly less headaches from the fumes and I no longer hear customers walk into the store and claim that 'it smells like carpet in here' instantly. Jon Vigre, Owner of Home Carpet Warehouse, Inc.

THERMAL EFFICIENCY TAKES THE CAKE

While non-condensing RTUs may operate at manageable thermal efficiencies such as 80 percent, the C-RTU installed at Home Carpet Warehouse outperformed its non-condensing counterpart significantly at 95 percent thermal efficiency, saving both on gas and electricity. Further, the field-measured combustion efficiency at the time of the original unit's replacement had degraded down to 71 percent as RTUs, like any major building system, become less efficient over time. The additional savings realized by operating at the higher 95 percent efficiency made up for the added installation costs associated with the system required to properly dilute and dispose of the condensate.

Table 2 compares the performance of these two technologies side-by-side. At this site, the baseline non-condensing RTU had a two-stage burner and a single-speed blower. The condensing unit that replaced the baseline unit comes standard with a two-stage burner and a multi-speed supply fan. Both the baseline and C-RTU thermostat fan controls were set to the "on" mode for continuous operation.

2015/2016 Heating Season Metrics	Non-condensing RTU Baseline	C-RTU
RTU Manufacturer/Model Number	Trane YCC060FIM0BG	Reznor R8HE-060-096
Thermal Efficiency (TE)	80%	95 %
Gas Input High-fire/Low-fire (Btu/hr)	125,000/100,000	96,000/62,400
Airflow High-fire/Low-fire (cfm)	2,000	1,895/1,421
Gas Usage (therms)	2,042	1,580
Electric Usage in Fan (kWh)	7,592	2,938
EFLH high fire (hours)	1,633	1,646

Table 2	Conventional	RTIIvs	C-RTH	Performance
Iable L.	Conventional	NIO V3.	C-N10	1 enonnance

While replacing an existing rooftop unit is no small investment, Jon Vigre's Home Carpet Warehouse managed to reclaim the incremental cost in just over two years and continues to enjoy substantial savings on utility expenses.

INTERESTED IN LEARNING MORE?

Contact Christine Riegler at CRiegler@neea.org or visit betterbricks.com/solutions/hvac/c-rtus.